UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

1

2	WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA	
3	DAVID HILL,	\
4	DAVID HILL,))
5	Plaintiff) Case No.: 3:21-cv-49
6	v.	COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR
7	PENN CREDIT CORPORATION,) JURY TRIAL)
9	Defendant	(Unlawful Debt Collection Practices))
10		
11	COMPLAINT	
12	GREGORY HOLT ("Plaintiff"), by and through his attorneys, KIMMEL &	
14	SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the	following against PENN CREDIT
15	CORPORATION ("Defendant"):	
16	INTRODUCTION	
17		
18	1. Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices	
19	Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA").	
20	JURISDICTION AND VENUE	
21	2. Jurisdiction of this court	arises nursuant to 15 U.S.C. 8 1692k(d).
22		
23	which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate	
24	United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy," and 25	
25		

- U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States.
- 3. Defendant conducts business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and as such, personal jurisdiction is established.
 - 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) and (2).

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Johnstown, Pennsylvania 15905.
- 6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3).
- 7. Defendant is a corporation specializing in debt collection with its principal place of business located at 916 South 14th Street, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17104.
- 8. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a debt.
- 9. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

10. Upon information and belief, Defendant was hired to collect a

consumer debt that was primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.

- 11. Defendant collects, and attempts to collect, debts incurred, or alleged to have been incurred, for personal, family, or household purposes on behalf of creditors using the U.S. Mail, telephone and/or internet.
- 12. Defendant placed repeated calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone attempting to collect a debt owed by a third party.
 - 13. Defendant did not have consent to call Plaintiff's telephone number.
 - 14. Plaintiff told Defendant to stop calling soon after the calls began.
- 15. However, Defendant ignored this request and continued to call Plaintiff's telephone number.
- 16. Once Defendant was aware it was calling the wrong number the only purpose in placing continued calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number would be to harass him.

COUNT I <u>DEFENDANT VIOLATED § 1692d OF THE FDCPA</u>

17. A debt collector violates § 1692d by engaging in any conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt.

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

18. Defendant violated § 1692d when it placed repeated and continuous harassing telephone calls to Plaintiff for a third party and when it continued calling Plaintiff's cellular telephone after he explicitly told Defendant to stop.

COUNT II DEFENDANT VIOLATED § 1692d(5) OF THE FDCPA

- 19. A debt collector violates § 1692d(5) by causing a telephone to ring or engaging any person in telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the called number.
- 20. Defendant violated § 1692d(5) when it placed repeated and continuous harassing telephone calls to Plaintiff for a third party and when it continued calling Plaintiff's cellular telephone after he explicitly told Defendant to stop.

COUNT III **DEFENDANT VIOLATED § 1692f OF THE FDCPA**

- A debt collector violates § 1692f by using unfair or unconscionable 21. means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
- 22. Defendant violated § 1692f when it continued to call Plaintiff after he told them to stop, and generally, when it used unfair and unconscionable means to collect the alleged debt.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, DAVID HILL, respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

- a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(1);
- b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(2)(A);
- c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1693k(a)(3); and
- d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, GREGORY HOLT, demands a jury trial in this case.

1		RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,
2		
3	Date: March 24, 2021	By: /s/ Amy L. B. Ginsburg
4		AMY L. B. GINSBURG Attorney ID No. 202745
5		Kimmel & Silverman, P.C. 30 E. Butler Pike
6		Ambler, PA 19002
7		Phone: (215) 540-8888 Fax: (877) 788-2864
8		Email: teamkimmel@creditlaw.com
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14 15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		